ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Impact of Partial Pressure of Oxygen in Blood Samples on the Performance of Systems for Self-Monitoring of Blood Glucose

Christina Schmid, PhD, Annette Baumstark, PhD, Stefan Pleus, MS,
Cornelia Haug, MD, Martina Tesar, and Guido Freckmann, MD

Abstract

Background: The partial pressure of oxygen (pO_2) in blood samples can affect glucose measurements with oxygen-sensitive systems. In this study, we assessed the influence of different pO_2 levels on blood glucose (BG) measurements with five glucose oxidase (GOD) systems and one glucose dehydrogenase (GDH) system. All selected GOD systems were indicated by the manufacturers to be sensitive to increased oxygen content of the blood sample.

Materials and Methods: Venous blood samples of 16 subjects (eight women, eight men; mean age, 52 years; three with type 1 diabetes, four with type 2 diabetes, and nine without diabetes) were collected. Aliquots of each sample were adjusted to the following pO_2 values: ≤ 45 mm Hg, approximately 70 mm Hg, and ≥ 150 mm Hg. For each system, five consecutive measurements on each sample were performed using the same test strip lot. Relative differences between the mean BG value at a pO_2 level of approximately 70 mm Hg, which was considered to be similar to pO_2 values in capillary blood samples, and the mean BG value at pO_2 levels ≤ 45 mm Hg and ≥ 150 mm Hg were calculated.

Results: The GOD systems showed mean relative differences between 11.8% and 44.5% at pO_2 values ≤ 45 mm Hg and between -14.6% and -21.2% at pO_2 values ≥ 150 mm Hg. For the GDH system, the mean relative differences were -0.3% and -0.2% at pO_2 values ≤ 45 mm Hg and ≥ 150 mm Hg, respectively.

Conclusions: The magnitude of the pO_2 impact on BG measurements seems to vary among the tested oxygen-sensitive GOD systems. The pO_2 range in which oxygen-sensitive systems operate well should be provided in the product information.

Background

SYSTEMS FOR SELF-MONITORING of blood glucose (SMBG) use biosensors that facilitate the conversion of glucose in a reaction that generates a signal (e.g., a current) proportional to the glucose level in the blood sample. Usually the biosensor is located within a capillary chamber on the test strip as a thin, dry layer comprising a mixture of enzymes, mediators, and other chemical components that react specifically with glucose.^{1,2} The most commonly used enzymes in such biosensors are glucose oxidase (GOD) and glucose dehydrogenase (GDH).

Because oxygen is the physiological electron acceptor of GOD, systems that use a GOD enzyme reaction on test strips (hereafter denoted as GOD systems) are in principle prone to oxygen interferences (Fig. 1).^{3–5} To minimize the oxygen dependency of GOD systems, the biosensors usually contain a nonphysiological electron acceptor (mediator), such as ferrocene, ferricyanide, or a conducting organic salt (Fig. 1).^{3–5} The properties of the utilized mediator, for example, stability, kinetics, and ability to compete with oxygen, are an important

aspect concerning the level of elimination of the GOD systems' oxygen dependency.^{4,5} However, detailed information concerning the components and electrochemical reaction of biosensors of currently available SMBG systems is limited. In contrast to GOD systems, those that use a GDH enzyme reaction on test strips (denoted hereafter as GDH systems) are—due to the nature of the chemistry used—oxygen insensitive.^{4,6–8}

Previous investigations have shown that increases of the partial pressure of oxygen (pO_2) in blood samples result in considerable measurement bias of some oxygen-dependent GOD systems.^{7–11} Over- or underestimated blood glucose (BG) values of a given SMBG system can result in undetected hypo- or hyperglycemic events and may adversely affect therapeutic decisions.

In this study, we investigated the influence of different pO_2 levels on BG measurements with five GOD systems and one GDH system. All selected GOD systems were indicated by the manufacturers to be sensitive to increased oxygen content of the blood sample.

A Basic enzyme reactions

B Reactions on test strips

FIG. 1. (A) Basic enzyme reactions. (B) Reaction on test strips (modified from multiple sources^{1-4,7,6}). In current self-monitoring of blood glucose systems, the enzyme (glucose oxidase [GOD] or glucose dehydrogenase [GDH]) and its coenzyme catalyze the oxidation of glucose. A mediator is used as electron acceptor that transfers electrons from the enzyme-coenzyme complex to the electrode (reaction 1). Because oxygen is the physiological electron acceptor for the GOD enzyme, it is possible that O₂ competes with the mediator (reaction 2). In GOD-based self-monitoring of blood glucose systems, increased or decreased O₂ concentrations may result in underestimated (overestimated) blood glucose concentrations. ox, oxidized; red, reduced.

Materials and Methods

This study was performed in December 2012 in compliance with the German Medical Devices Act at the Institut für Diabetes-Technologie Forschungs- und Entwicklungsgesellschaft mbH an der Universität Ulm, Ulm, Germany. The study protocol was approved by the responsible ethics committee, and the relevant authority was notified. Informed consent forms were signed by all subjects prior to the study commencing. Sixteen subjects were included in this evaluation (eight women, eight men; mean age, 52 years (range, 24–76 years): three with type 1 diabetes, four with type 2 diabetes, and nine subjects without diabetes. Because it was expected that having diabetes or not has no influence on the measurement quality of the systems investigated, subjects were selected independent from having diabetes or their diabetes type. The subjects' anamnesis and medication were reviewed by a physician and compared with interfering substances indicated in the manufacturers' labeling.

BG monitoring systems

In this study, five electrochemical GOD systems (systems 1–5) and one electrochemical GDH system (system 6) from six different manufacturers were evaluated. In the test strip package inserts for the GOD systems it is stated that these systems are intended for BG measurements on capillary blood and, additionally, that measurement results can be affected

by high blood oxygen concentrations or in patients receiving oxygen therapy.

All SMBG systems studied were purchased from pharmacies. The systems were stored, used, and maintained in compliance with the manufacturers' instructions. In addition, control measurements were performed daily, as indicated by the manufacturers, prior to the experimental procedure and for each test strip vial.

Sample preparation and measurement procedure

In this study, which required a large blood volume, venous blood samples were adjusted to different pO₂ levels in order to assess the influence of the different pO₂ values on BG measurement results.

Test procedures were performed by trained personnel in a laboratory setting with controlled room temperature (20–23°C) and humidity (31–44%). A venous blood sample from each of the 16 subjects was collected in lithium heparin tubes. The hematocrit value of the blood sample was determined in duplicate by using heparinized capillaries in order to ensure a hematocrit value within the range indicated in the manufacturers' labeling. For this purpose, capillary tubes were centrifuged, and the hematocrit value was determined using an alignment chart. Hematocrit values among the 16 subjects ranged between 38% and 54.5%.

The pO₂ values of the samples were analyzed by using a blood gas analyzer (OPTI™ CCA-TS analyzer; OPTI Medical

Systems, Inc., Roswell, GA). For the preparation of test samples with three different pO₂ levels, three aliquots of the venous blood sample were collected in one syringe each (approximately 2.5 mL). Samples with the following pO₂ levels were prepared: approximately 70 mm Hg, which was considered to be similar to pO₂ values in capillary blood samples^{12,13}; ≤45 mm Hg (further also referred to as “low”); and ≥150 mm Hg (further also referred to as “high”). Because the initial pO₂ values of the venous blood samples, which were determined immediately after sample collection, ranged between 26 mm Hg and 40 mm Hg, pO₂ adjustment was not required for samples designated for pO₂ values ≤45 mm Hg. The syringe with the sample designated for pO₂ values ≤45 mm Hg was deaerated, sealed airtight, and placed on a rotating mixer for sample incubation until the measurement procedure with the BG systems was performed. To achieve blood samples with pO₂ values of approximately 70 mm Hg and ≥150 mm Hg, a volume of 1–3 mL of air was added to the samples in the syringe before being airtight-sealed and incubated on a rotating mixer. During incubation, the pO₂ values of all samples were checked repeatedly. For samples designated for approximately 70 mm Hg and ≥150 mm Hg, the syringe was deaerated as soon as the desired pO₂ value was reached in order to prevent any further pO₂ increase.

The glucose measurement procedure with all SMBG systems was performed immediately after the sample had reached the designated pO₂ value. The glucose level in each sample was measured with all six test systems. For each system, five consecutive measurements on a given blood sample were performed using the same test strip lot. In order to measure a blood sample as quickly as possible (to ensure nearly constant pO₂ values), five different meters were used for each system. Before and after the glucose measurements with the SMBG systems, samples for measurements with the laboratory glucose analyzer were collected, hemolyzed, deproteinized (in test tubes containing perchloric acid), and centrifuged. The supernatants were transferred to fresh tubes, stored at –20°C, and measured using a hexokinase method (cobas® 6000 c501; Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany). BG measurement results with this laboratory method ranged between 82 and 206 mg/dL.

In addition, the pO₂ of the blood samples was also determined immediately before and after the glucose measurements with the SMBG systems in order to ensure nearly constant pO₂ values of a sample during the measurement series. The 16 samples could be adjusted to the following mean pO₂ values:

- samples designated to pO₂ values approximately 70 mm Hg: 73.5 mm Hg, ranging from 69 to 81 mm Hg
- samples designated to pO₂ values ≤45 mm Hg: 32.6 mm Hg, ranging from 27 to 41 mm Hg
- samples designated to pO₂ values ≥150 mm Hg: 165.5 mm Hg, ranging from 153 to 184 mm Hg

The maximal pO₂ change during the measurement procedure was <6%, which we considered to be sufficiently stable.

Data analysis

Data management and evaluation were performed at the study site. Assessment of pO₂ effects on the systems' measurements results was performed with descriptive statistics.

For each of the 16 samples, normalized relative differences between the mean BG value (five consecutive measurements per sample) of a system at “high” or “low” pO₂ values and the mean BG value of that system at a pO₂ value of approximately 70 mm Hg were calculated. Changes in laboratory method results were also accounted for:

$$d_{\text{norm}} = \frac{S_n - L_n + L_{70} - S_{70}}{S_{70}} \times 100 \text{ (in \%)}$$

where S_n is the mean BG value for a specific SMBG system at pO₂ n (“low”; approximately 70 mm Hg; “high”), L_n is the mean laboratory method result at pO₂ n (“low”; approximately 70 mm Hg; “high”), L_{70} is the mean laboratory method result at pO₂ approximately 70 mm Hg, S_{70} is the mean BG value for a specific SMBG system at pO₂ approximately 70 mm Hg, and d_{norm} is the normalized relative difference.

By applying this equation, the normalized relative difference at pO₂ value approximately 70 mm Hg was set to 0. This was done because all tested systems were intended for use with capillary blood samples, and pO₂ values approximately 70 mm Hg (ranging from 69 to 81 mm Hg in this study) were

TABLE 1. MEAN NORMALIZED RELATIVE DIFFERENCES OF THE GLUCOSE OXIDASE SYSTEMS 1–5 AND THE GLUCOSE DEHYDROGENASE SYSTEM 6 AT PARTIAL PRESSURE OF OXYGEN VALUES ≤45 mm Hg AND ≥150 mm Hg

	GOD systems					GDH system (system 6)
	System 1	System 2	System 3	System 4	System 5	
Relative differences pO ₂ ≤ 45 mm Hg						
Mean	30.2%	11.8%	44.5%	24.9%	29.7%	−0.3%
SD	9.2%	5.0%	16.2%	6.6%	10.1%	2.4%
Minimum	12.6%	5.5%	20.9%	16.0%	15.2%	−0.1%
Maximum	43.4%	26.1%	71.5%	36.2%	50.2%	−5.6%
Relative differences pO ₂ ≥ 150 mm Hg						
Mean	−20.2%	−14.6%	−21.2%	−17.3%	−15.8%	−0.2%
SD	5.8%	3.8%	5.2%	4.6%	6.3%	1.5%
Minimum	−11.5%	−8.1%	−13.0%	−10.2%	−7.2%	−0.1%
Maximum	−33.0%	−21.5%	−30.4%	−26.5%	−27.1%	−3.3%

There were 16 samples each, five measurements per sample.

GDH, glucose dehydrogenase; GOD, glucose oxidase; pO₂, partial pressure of oxygen.

considered to be similar to pO₂ values in fresh capillary blood samples.^{12,13}

For each BG system and each pO₂ level, the normalized relative difference of each of the 16 samples (five measurements per sample) was calculated. In addition, the mean value over all 16 normalized relative differences was calculated.

Results

Among the GOD systems 1–5, the mean normalized relative differences at “low” pO₂ values were between 11.8% and 44.5% (Table 1); the highest variation of mean normalized relative differences was found in system 3, ranging from 20.9% to 71.5% (Table 1 and Figs. 2 and 3). Regarding pO₂ values ≥150 mm Hg, mean normalized relative differences were between –14.6% and –21.2%; the highest variation was found in system 1, with mean normalized relative differences ranging from –11.5% to –33.0% (Table 1 and Figs. 2 and 3). For the GDH system (system 6), the mean relative differences were –0.3% at pO₂ values ≤45 mm Hg and –0.2% at pO₂ values ≥150 mm Hg.

Discussion

In this study, the influence of different blood pO₂ levels on BG measurement results was investigated in five GOD systems and one GDH system. All investigated GOD systems were affected by “high” and “low” pO₂ values of the blood sample. Because oxygen is not involved in the electrochemical reaction catalyzed by GDH, an influence of the samples’ pO₂ values on measurement results was not expected, and actually the GDH system was not affected by the pO₂ value of the sample.

The pO₂ level of approximately 70 mmHg was considered to be similar to pO₂ values in capillary blood samples.^{12,13} Low pO₂ values can be found in patients with respiratory diseases or at high altitude (e.g., hiking, mountaineering, during air travel).^{14–19} Increased pO₂ values can occur in patients requiring artificial respiration.^{9,11,20}

In this study, at “high” pO₂ values the GOD systems showed a negative bias for the measurement results compared with those at pO₂ values approximately 70 mm Hg. This is in line with several other studies reporting that some GOD systems underestimate BG values when measurements were performed on blood samples with increased pO₂ values.^{8,9,11,21} In such studies, measurements on adjusted venous blood samples with pO₂ values >100 mm Hg or in critically ill patients/patients undergoing surgery with increased arterial pO₂ values up to approximately 600 mm Hg resulted in a negative bias. Probably, the competition between dissolved oxygen in the blood sample and the electron acceptor on the biosensor of test strips leads to a diminished chemical reaction when the blood samples’ pO₂ value is increased.^{2,4} Therefore, in patients with increased pO₂ values, the use of oxygen-dependent SMBG systems is not recommended.^{7,8}

Information concerning the influence and clinical impact of decreased pO₂ values on oxygen-sensitive GOD systems is limited. Mahoney et al.²² argued that low pO₂ values of venous blood samples can result in a positive bias of the measurement results with oxygen-sensitive systems intended for pO₂ values in capillary blood samples. Previous studies investigated the influence of high altitude, which is also characterized by decreased pO₂ values, on BG measurement

results. However, results of these studies are conflicting and difficult to interpret; most of the available data were either obtained with older-generation systems, or the evaluation procedures were not described in detail.^{23–27} In our study, a considerable influence of “low” pO₂ values on the investigated GOD systems was found to give a positive bias on the measurement results compared with those at pO₂ values approximately 70 mm Hg.

Low pO₂ values can occur in daily life conditions of people with diabetes, for example, in patients with respiratory diseases or during activities at higher altitudes or during air travel. During long-distance flights, conditions in commercial aircraft cabins correspond to an altitude of approximately 2,400 m for many hours.^{18,19} The decreased ambient pO₂ at such altitude can lead to decreased arterial pO₂ values of about 55–65 mm Hg.^{17–19} In such conditions BG measurements with oxygen-sensitive systems might bear a risk that hypoglycemic events might not be detected in time.

This evaluation was performed in a controlled laboratory setting, in which other interfering factors were reduced to a minimum. However, the study has limitations that should be considered when interpreting the results. The five GOD systems are labeled for use with capillary blood only. According to clause 6.4 of the International Organization for Standardization (ISO) 15197:2013 standard,²⁸ in which the preferred sample material for interference testing is venous blood, venous blood was adjusted to different pO₂ levels in order to evaluate the impact of the blood samples’ pO₂ on BG measurement results. The results indicate that different blood oxygen contents affect BG measurements with oxygen-sensitive GOD systems.

Having diabetes or not was not expected to influence this study’s results, and thus subjects were selected independent from having diabetes or not. However, verification of this assumption was not performed on the study data as the number of subjects did not allow for proper subgroup analyses.

All GOD systems tested in this study were indicated by the manufacturers to be potentially affected by increased oxygen concentrations of the blood sample or in patients who are receiving oxygen therapy; hence, a dependence of the samples’ pO₂ on measurement results was expected. However, the results of this study indicate that the extent of the pO₂ effects varies among these systems. One main reason that determines the occurrence and extent of pO₂ effects is the electrochemical composition of the biosensor. Some manufacturers might have designed the GOD enzyme reaction of their system to be less or even not affected by oxygen. However, for most oxygen-sensitive labeled BG monitoring systems, detailed information concerning the pO₂ limits of the system is not provided. In particular, information about a possible interference associated with conditions of decreased oxygen content in the blood sample that could prevent the timely detection of hypoglycemia is missing.

Recently, the ISO²⁸ published a revision of the standard EN ISO 15197:2003, the ISO 15197:2013, in which requirements for SMBG systems are specified. In this revision, it is prescribed that interference effects shall be described in the instructions for use if the average difference between the test sample and the control sample exceeds 10 mg/dL (10%) for glucose concentrations <100 mg/dL (≥100 mg/dL). In addition, it is claimed that the conditions required to obtain accurate

FIG. 2. (Continued).

FIG. 2. Normalized relative differences at partial pressure of oxygen values ≤ 45 mm Hg and ≥ 150 mm Hg are displayed for the respective glucose concentration (16 samples each, five measurements per sample). Normalized relative differences at a partial pressure of oxygen of approximately 70 mm Hg were set to 0 (see Data analysis). GDH, glucose dehydrogenase; GOD, glucose oxidase.

FIG. 3. (Continued).

FIG. 3. Normalized relative differences are plotted for partial pressure of oxygen values ≤ 45 mm Hg and ≥ 150 mm Hg (16 samples each, five glucose measurements per sample). Normalized relative differences at a partial pressure of oxygen of approximately 70 mm Hg were set to 1 (see Data analysis). GDH, glucose dehydrogenase; GOD, glucose oxidase.

measured values shall be specified if the system is affected by environmental factors, such as oxygen.

In this context it should be mentioned that other clinically relevant conditions and factors (e.g., temperature, hematocrit, interfering drugs [e.g., acetaminophen, salicylates]) can also have a profound influence on BG measurements. A detailed description of all interfering substances and relevant conditions would enable a suitable and informed decision when choosing SMBG systems for a specific setting or a specific group of patients.

Conclusions

The magnitude of the pO_2 effects on BG measurement results seems to vary among oxygen-sensitive SMBG systems, particularly at decreased pO_2 values. To ensure an adequate use of oxygen-sensitive systems in daily life conditions of patients with diabetes, the pO_2 range in which the systems operate well should be investigated further and should be provided in the product information.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by a grant from Roche Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany. We would like to thank Lutz Heinemann, Volker Lodwig, Peter Müller, Joachim Hönes, and Andrew Hattemer for their valuable input.

Author Disclosure Statement

All authors are employees of the Institut für Diabetes-Technologie Forschungs- und Entwicklungsgesellschaft mbH an der Universität Ulm (IDT), Ulm, Germany. G.F. is general manager of the IDT, which carries out studies evaluating BG meters and medical devices for diabetes therapy on behalf of various companies. G.F./IDT have received speakers' honoraria or consulting fees from Abbott, Bayer, Menarini Diagnostics, Roche Diagnostics, Sanofi, and Ypsomed.

References

- Vashist SK, Zheng D, Al-Rubeaan K, Luong JH, Sheu FS: Technology behind commercial devices for blood glucose monitoring in diabetes management: a review. *Anal Chim Acta* 2011;703:124–136.
- Hönes J, Müller P, Surridge N: The technology behind glucose meters: test strips. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2008;10:10–26.
- Wang J: Electrochemical glucose biosensors. *Chem Rev* 2008;108:814–825.
- Yoo EH, Lee SY: Glucose biosensors: an overview of use in clinical practice. *Sensors (Basel)* 2010;10:4558–4576.
- Chaubey A, Malhotra BD: Mediated biosensors. *Biosens Bioelectron* 2002;17:441–456.
- Ferri S, Kojima K, Sode K: Review of glucose oxidases and glucose dehydrogenases: a bird's eye view of glucose sensing enzymes. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 2011;5:1068–1076.
- Kost GJ, Vu HT, Lee JH, Bourgeois P, Kiechle FL, Martin C, Miller SS, Okorodudu AO, Podczasy JJ, Webster R, Whitlow KJ: Multicenter study of oxygen-insensitive handheld glucose point-of-care testing in critical care/hospital/ambulatory patients in the United States and Canada. *Crit Care Med* 1998;26:581–590.
- Tang Z, Louie RF, Lee JH, Lee DM, Miller EE, Kost GJ: Oxygen effects on glucose meter measurements with glucose dehydrogenase- and oxidase-based test strips for point-of-care testing. *Crit Care Med* 2001;29:1062–1070.
- Kurahashi K, Maruta H, Usuda Y, Ohtsuka M: Influence of blood sample oxygen tension on blood glucose concentration measured using an enzyme-electrode method. *Crit Care Med* 1997;25:231–235.
- Chun TY, Hirose M, Sawa T, Harada M, Hosokawa T, Tanaka Y, Miyazaki M: The effect of the partial pressure of oxygen on blood glucose concentration examined using glucose oxidase with ferricyan ion. *Anesth Analg* 1994;79:993–997.
- Louie RF, Tang Z, Sutton DV, Lee JH, Kost GJ: Point-of-care glucose testing: effects of critical care variables, influence of reference instruments, and a modular glucose meter design. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 2000;124:257–266.
- Higgins C: Capillary blood gases: to arterialize or not. *MLO Med Lab Obs* 2008;40:42–47.
- Fajac I, Texereau J, Rivoal V, Dessanges JF, Dinh-Xuan AT, Dall'Ava-Santucci J: Blood gas measurement during exercise: a comparative study between arterialized earlobe sampling and direct arterial puncture in adults. *Eur Respir J* 1998;11:712–715.
- Ak A, Ogun CO, Bayir A, Kayis SA, Koylu R: Prediction of arterial blood gas values from venous blood gas values in patients with acute exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Tohoku J Exp Med* 2006;210:285–290.
- Mays EE: An arterial blood gas diagram for clinical use. *Chest* 1973;63:793–800.
- O'Connor TM, Barry PJ, Jahangir A, Finn C, Buckley BM, El-Gammal A: Comparison of arterial and venous blood gases and the effects of analysis delay and air contamination on arterial samples in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and healthy controls. *Respiration* 2011;81:18–25.
- Fischer R: High altitude and pulmonary diseases. *Dtsche Z Sportmedizin* 2000;51:412–417.
- Garcia RF, Borderias CL, Casanova MC, Celli BR, Escarabill SJ, Gonzalez MN, Roca TJ, Uresandi RF: [Air travel and respiratory diseases]. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2007;43:101–125.
- Coker RK, Partridge MR: What happens to patients with respiratory disease when they fly? *Thorax* 2004;59:919–920.
- Cakar N, Tuorul M, Demirarslan A, Nahum A, Adams A, Akyncy O, Esen F, Telci L: Time required for partial pressure of arterial oxygen equilibration during mechanical ventilation after a step change in fractional inspired oxygen concentration. *Intensive Care Med* 2001;27:655–659.
- Tang Z, Louie RF, Payes M, Chang KC, Kost GJ: Oxygen effects on glucose measurements with a reference analyzer and three handheld meters. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2000;2:349–362.
- Mahoney JJ, Ellison JM, Cabezuado JJ, Cariski AT: Protocol errors may lead to incorrect conclusions. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2011;13:171.
- Oberg D, Ostenson CG: Performance of glucose dehydrogenase- and glucose oxidase-based blood glucose meters at high altitude and low temperature. *Diabetes Care* 2005;28:1261.
- Pecchio O, Maule S, Migliardi M, Trento M, Veglio M: Effects of exposure at an altitude of 3,000 m on performance of glucose meters. *Diabetes Care* 2000;23:129–131.
- Fink KS, Christensen DB, Ellsworth A: Effect of high altitude on blood glucose meter performance. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2002;4:627–635.

26. deMol P, Krabbe HG, de Vries ST, Fokkert MJ, Dikkeschei BD, Rienks R, Bilo KM, Bilo HJ: Accuracy of handheld blood glucose meters at high altitude. PLoS One 2010;5: e15485.
27. Olateju T, Begley J, Flanagan D, Kerr D: Effects of simulated altitude on blood glucose meter performance: implications for in-flight blood glucose monitoring. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2012;6:867–874.
28. International Organization for Standardization: In Vitro Diagnostic Test Systems—Requirements for Blood-Glucose Monitoring Systems for Self-Testing in Managing Diabetes

Mellitus. ISO 15197:2013. Geneva: International Organization for Standardization, 2013.

Address correspondence to:

Christina Schmid, PhD

*Institut für Diabetes-Technologie Forschungs- und
Entwicklungsgesellschaft mbH an der Universität Ulm*

Helmholtzstrasse 20

89081 Ulm, Germany

E-mail: christina.schmid@uni-ulm.de