ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Hypoglycemia Reduction and Changes in Hemoglobin A1c in the ASPIRE In-Home Study

Ram Weiss, MD, PhD,¹ Satish K. Garg, MD,² Bruce W. Bode, MD,³ Timothy S. Bailey, MD,⁴ Andrew J. Ahmann, MD,⁵ Kenneth A. Schultz, MD,⁶ John B. Welsh, MD, PhD,⁶ and John J. Shin, PhD,⁶ for the ASPIRE In-Home Study Group

Abstract

Background: ASPIRE In-Home randomized 247 subjects with type 1 diabetes to sensor-augmented pump therapy with or without the Threshold Suspend (TS) feature, which interrupts insulin delivery at a preset sensor glucose value. We studied the effects of TS on nocturnal hypoglycemia (NH) in relation to baseline hemoglobin A1c (A1C) and change in A1C during the study.

Materials and Methods: NH event rates and mean area under curve (AUC) of NH events were evaluated at different levels of baseline A1C (<7%, 7–8%, and >8%) and at different levels of changes in A1C (less than –0.3% [decreased], –0.3% to 0.3% [stable], and >0.3% [increased]), in the TS Group compared with the Control Group (sensor-augmented pump only).

Results: In the TS Group, 27.9% of the NH events were accompanied by a confirmatory blood glucose value, compared with 39.3% in the Control Group. Among subjects with baseline A1C levels of <7% or 7–8%, those in the TS Group had significantly lower NH event rates than those in the Control Group ($P=0.001$ and $P=0.004$, respectively). Among subjects with decreased or stable A1C levels, those in the TS Group had significantly lower NH event rates, and the events had lower AUCs ($P\leq 0.001$ for each). Among subjects with increased A1C levels, those in the TS Group had NH events with significantly lower AUCs ($P<0.001$).

Conclusions: Use of the TS feature was associated with decreases in the rate and severity (as measured by AUC) of NH events in many subjects, including those with low baseline A1C levels and those whose A1C values decreased during the study period. Use of the TS feature can help protect against hypoglycemia in those wishing to intensify diabetes management to achieve target glucose levels.

Introduction

NOCTURNAL HYPOGLYCEMIA (NH) is a major limiting factor in attaining near-normal glycemic control in patients with type 1 diabetes and is emotionally burdensome for patients and caregivers alike.¹ In adults, as well as in children,

the majority of severe hypoglycemic events occur during the nighttime,² in part because of attenuation of the normal counterregulatory response observed during sleep,³ specifically during the deeper sleep phases.⁴ Recent analyses have shown that NH events are often of long duration and that low glucose levels can persist for more than 2 h prior to the

¹Department of Human Metabolism and Nutrition and Section of Pediatric Endocrinology, Hadassah Hebrew University, Jerusalem, Israel.

²Barbara Davis Center for Diabetes, University of Colorado Denver, Aurora, Colorado.

³Atlanta Diabetes Associates, Atlanta, Georgia.

⁴AMCR Institute, Escondido, California.

⁵Oregon Health & Sciences University, Portland, Oregon.

⁶Medtronic plc, Northridge, California.

The ASPIRE (Automation to Simulate Pancreatic Insulin REsponse) In-Home study is registered with ClinicalTrials.gov with clinical trial registration number NCT01497938.

© The Author(s) 2015; Published by Mary Ann Liebert, Inc. This Open Access article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and the source are credited.

occurrence of NH-induced seizures.⁵ Although nutritional strategies for NH prevention are routinely suggested in clinical practice, there is no evidence-based recommendation for their utility or for the optimal composition of a bedtime snack.⁶

One strategy to mitigate hypoglycemia in users of sensor-augmented pump therapy is to stop insulin delivery at a predetermined sensor glucose (SG) level; the strategy is implemented as Threshold Suspend (TS) in the United States as part of the 530G system and as Low Glucose Suspend elsewhere as part of the Veo™ system (both from Medtronic plc, Northridge, CA). The strategy has been shown to reduce the duration and severity of hypoglycemic events without compromising glycemic control in an inpatient setting of exercise-induced hypoglycemia,⁷ in several outpatient studies,^{8–11} and in a retrospective analysis of anonymized data uploaded by patients as part of their routine care.¹² Even in the context of elevated blood glucose levels at the time of suspension, 2-h pump suspensions appear safe and do not lead to clinically significant ketonemia.¹³

We wished to establish the extent of NH reduction associated with use of the TS feature in subjects who were stratified according to baseline hemoglobin A1c (A1C) and changes in A1C (Δ A1C) during the ASPIRE (Automation to Simulate Pancreatic Insulin REsponse) In-Home study.⁸ A preliminary report of these results was presented in part at the 74th Scientific Sessions of the American Diabetes Association, held June 13–17, 2014.¹⁴

Research Design and Methods

The ASPIRE In-Home study was a multicenter, randomized, parallel study of NH-prone subjects with type 1 diabetes.¹⁵ The Control Group used sensor-augmented pump therapy, whereas the TS Group used sensor-augmented pump therapy with the addition of the TS feature. For the study, an episode of NH was defined as a sequence of SG values ≤ 65 mg/dL lasting >20 min and starting between 10:00 p.m. and 8:00 a.m. To qualify for the study, volunteers had to have demonstrated at least two episodes of NH in a 2-week run-in phase. The 3-month randomized study phase was designed to provide data for between-group comparisons with respect to Δ A1C and the mean area under the curve (AUC) of NH events. The main results of ASPIRE In-Home included a 37.5% reduction in the mean AUC of NH events and a 31.8% reduction in the weekly rate of NH events for subjects in the TS Group compared with the Control Group, with no apparent loss in overall glycemic control determined by Δ A1C.⁸

In this secondary analysis of ASPIRE In-Home data, NH events were analyzed according to each subject's baseline A1C value ($<7\%$, $7\text{--}8\%$, and $>8\%$) and to each subject's Δ A1C value (less than -0.3% [decreased], -0.3% to 0.3% [stable], and $>0.3\%$ [increased]). Only data from the 240 subjects who provided both baseline and end-of-study A1C values were used; data from the seven subjects who withdrew early (five from the TS Group and two from the Control Group) were not used.

Statistical analysis

Secondary outcomes based on NH events were compared between groups, categorized by baseline A1C and Δ A1C.

Event-based variables such as NH event AUC, AUC per night, nadir SG value, and duration were compared using a mixed effects model with random intercept to incorporate within-subject correlations among events. For subject-based variables, such as weekly event rate, mean SG values, mean SD values, and mean coefficients of variation (defined as the SD divided by the mean of a set of values) of SG values, the subject means on each variable were first calculated for each subject, and then a two-sample *t* test was performed on the subject means data. Analyses were conducted with SAS software (version 9.3; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). All reported *P* values are two-sided; $P < 0.05$ was considered to indicate statistical significance for comparisons between groups.

Results

Of the 2,025 NH events in the TS Group, 564 (27.9%) were accompanied by a confirmatory blood glucose value, and of the 3,002 NH events in the Control Group, 1,179 (39.3%) were accompanied by a confirmatory blood glucose value. In addition, the NH events were verified for 41.1% (232 of 564) in the TS Group and 48.6% (573 of 1,179) in the Control Group.

Effects of TS in relation to baseline A1C

Table 1 shows that there was a significant inverse relationship between baseline A1C and NH rates in both the TS Group ($P = 0.005$) and the Control Group ($P < 0.001$), with the highest rate among subjects assigned to the Control Group who had baseline A1C values of $<7\%$ (2.7 per subject-week) and the lowest rate among subjects assigned to the TS Group who had baseline A1C values of $>8\%$ (1.1 per subject-week). The rate was significantly lower in the TS Group than in the Control Group for subjects with baseline A1C values of $<7\%$ ($P = 0.001$) or $7\text{--}8\%$ ($P = 0.004$). The differences in rate were consistent throughout the study, as shown in Supplementary Figure S1 (Supplementary Data are available online at www.liebertonline.com/dia). Regardless of baseline A1C, NH events experienced by subjects in the TS Group had significantly lower AUCs and shorter durations than those experienced by subjects in the Control Group (Table 1). There was no difference between mean SG and SD between the groups. The distribution of AUC values for hypoglycemic events is given in Supplementary Figure S2.

Effects of TS in relation to Δ A1C

Table 2 shows that the between-group differences for AUC were statistically significant in all of the Δ A1C categories ($P < 0.001$ for each). The percentage difference was largest for subjects with A1C values that increased over the course of the study, in which the mean AUC was 46.3% lower for subjects in the TS Group compared with subjects in the Control Group. The between-group differences in NH rate were significant for subjects with A1C values that decreased or were stable over the course of the study; for those with a decrease in A1C, the mean rate was 37.5% lower for subjects in the TS Group compared with subjects in the Control Group (1.5 vs. 2.4 events per subject-week, respectively; $P < 0.001$). In the Control Group, subjects with stable A1C values experienced NH events at a rate that was lower than Control Group subjects with decreased A1C values and higher than

TABLE 1. NOCTURNAL HYPOGLYCEMIA CHARACTERISTICS IN THE THRESHOLD SUSPEND AND CONTROL ARMS, BY BASELINE HEMOGLOBIN A1C

	Baseline A1C < 7%			Baseline A1C 7–8%			Baseline A1C > 8%		
	TS (N=41, n=860)	Control (N=54, n=1,649)	P	TS (N=60, n=953)	Control (N=55, n=1,156)	P	TS (N=15, n=180)	Control (N=15, n=187)	P
NH event nadir (mg/dL)	49.7±8.5	46.8±9.6	<0.001	49.9±8.2	48.1±9.6	0.007	49.5±8.6	46.5±10.1	0.06
NH event duration (min)	91.1±71.7	125.5±112.1	<0.001	82.0±57.2	111.7±99.5	<0.001	76.2±54.1	114.8±87.6	<0.001
NH event rate (per week)	1.9±1.1	2.7±1.3	0.001	1.4±0.9	1.9±1.0	0.004	1.1±0.5	1.3±1.2	0.6
AUC of NH events (mg×min/dL)	1,056±1,339	1,682±2,074	<0.001	931±1,094	1,422±1,917	<0.001	890±1,066	1,513±1,716	<0.001
AUC of NH events per night (mg×min/dL)	283.0±864.2	652.4±1,611.5	<0.001	187.1±630.8	395.0±1,245.1	<0.001	137.0±551.8	267.2±981.0	0.1
Mean SG (mg/dL)	142.2±14.6	138.6±16.6	0.3	160.7±14.8	156.7±14.4	0.1	175.8±16.5	180.9±23.8	0.5
Mean SD (mg/dL)	56.9±10.1	58.6±9.2	0.4	64.9±10.7	65.9±8.2	0.6	68.8±7.7	75.0±13.9	0.1
Mean CV	0.40±0.05	0.42±0.05	0.01	0.40±0.04	0.42±0.04	0.02	0.39±0.03	0.42±0.05	0.1

Data are mean±SD values.

A1C, hemoglobin A1c; AUC, area under the curve; CV, coefficient of variation; N, number of subjects; n, number of events; NH, nocturnal hypoglycemia; SG, sensor glucose; TS, Threshold Suspend.

TABLE 2. NOCTURNAL HYPOGLYCEMIA CHARACTERISTICS IN THE THRESHOLD SUSPEND AND CONTROL ARMS, BY CHANGES IN HEMOGLOBIN A1C

	Decreased ΔA1C < -0.3%			Stable (−0.3% ≤ ΔA1C ≤ 0.3%)			Increased (ΔA1C > 0.3%)		
	TS (N=25, n=427)	Control (N=28, n=787)	P	TS (N=65, n=1,112)	Control (N=77, n=1,861)	P	TS (N=26, n=454)	Control (N=19, n=344)	P
NH event nadir (mg/dL)	50.0±8.2	46.5±10.0	0.001	50.0±8.6	47.7±9.6	<0.001	50.0±8.1	47.1±8.7	<0.001
NH event duration (min)	80.2±55.6	117.1±103.4	<0.001	89.4±68.2	118.9±106.5	<0.001	80.5±59.2	128.1±110.0	<0.001
NH event rate (per week)	1.5±0.8	2.4±1.1	<0.001	1.5±1.1	2.1±1.3	0.001	1.5±0.9	1.6±1.4	0.8
AUC of NH events (mg×min/dL)	876±1,007	1,575±2,039	<0.001	1,053±1,293	1,549±1,986	<0.001	904±1,141	1,683±1,966	<0.001
AUC of NH events per night (mg×min/dL)	184.3±606.8	570.0±1518.1	<0.001	233.4±771.8	491.0±1,392.0	<0.001	198.1±667.9	405.4±1,270.4	0.02
Mean SG (mg/dL)	160.3±20.0	152.7±19.0	0.2	152.1±18.8	148.9±20.1	0.3	162.1±15.1	161.9±27.9	0.97
Mean SD (mg/dL)	64.3±9.9	66.9±10.1	0.4	61.0±11.6	62.0±10.0	0.6	65.0±10.0	66.9±13.6	0.6
Mean CV	0.40±0.03	0.44±0.05	0.001	0.40±0.05	0.42±0.04	0.02	0.40±0.04	0.42±0.05	0.3

Data are mean±SD values.

ΔA1C, changes in hemoglobin A1c; AUC, area under the curve; CV, coefficient of variation; N, number of subjects; n, number of events; NH, nocturnal hypoglycemia; SG, sensor glucose; TS, Threshold Suspend.

Control Group subjects with increased A1C values. In the TS Group, the NH rate averaged 1.5 per subject-week, regardless of Δ A1C category. The mean NH event duration was significantly shorter for subjects in the TS Group than for subjects in the Control Group, regardless of Δ A1C category ($P < 0.001$ for each between-group comparison), as was the nadir SG. Among subjects with decreased or stable A1C values, glycemic variability (measured as coefficient of variation) was significantly lower among subjects in the TS

Group than among subjects in the Control Group, although mean SG and SD were not different.

Effects of TS in relation to both baseline A1c and Δ A1C

Figure 1 shows NH event rates and AUCs among subjects stratified by both baseline and Δ A1C values. Reductions in NH event rate attributable to TS Group assignment were seen for subjects with baseline A1C of $<7\%$ who maintained

FIG. 1. (Left panels) Nocturnal hypoglycemia (NH) event rate and (right panels) area under the curve (AUC) of NH events for subjects stratified according to baseline hemoglobin A1c (A1C) ($<7\%$, $7-8\%$, or $>8\%$ in the top, middle, and bottom panels, respectively), changes in A1C (Δ A1C) category (decreased, stable, or increased in the left, middle, and right pairs of columns in each panel), and treatment group assignment (Threshold Suspend [TS] [gray columns] or Control [black columns]). The number of subjects is shown in each column. * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.005$ for between-treatment group comparisons.

stable A1C values and for subjects with baseline A1C of 7–8% whose A1C values decreased during the study. For subjects with baseline A1C of <7% or with baseline A1C of 7–8%, significant reductions in the AUC of NH events were seen among subjects assigned to the TS Group, regardless of Δ A1C category.

Discussion

The TS feature was designed to reduce the severity and duration of hypoglycemia by suspending insulin delivery upon detection of a predefined low SG value. In the ASPIRE In-Home study, the initial threshold for suspension of insulin was set to 70 mg/dL, and this value was maintained for 97.6% of the subject-hours of the study. Evidence from earlier observational^{7,9,10} and randomized controlled^{8,11} studies suggested that the TS feature significantly reduces the rate and severity of hypoglycemia as measured by SG values.

The Control Group appears to have a higher patient reaction rate to NH events. It is likely that the event rate in the TS Group was inflated more than in the Control Group, yet it was observed that the event rate in the TS Group was lower than that in the Control Group.

NH remains a critical barrier that limits treatment intensification in type 1 diabetes mellitus.¹⁶ Many patients with type 1 diabetes mellitus experience NH frequently, with many events lasting longer than 120 min.¹⁷ The ASPIRE In-Home Study showed that use of the TS feature reduced the mean and median duration of NH events by 39.4 and 11.9 min, respectively,⁸ to values that are less than the reported time typically associated with the development of seizures.⁵ These effects were mostly independent of the degree of metabolic control such as baseline A1C or Δ A1C from study beginning to end. In addition, no subjects in the TS Group had severe hypoglycemia, whereas four subjects in the Control Group had severe hypoglycemia during the 3-month study time period.⁸

The effect of the regular use of the TS feature in subjects who lowered their A1C values during the course of the study is of interest, given the association between lower A1C values and higher rates of hypoglycemia.¹⁸ Sensor-augmented pumps have been shown to allow A1C reduction without a significant effect on hypoglycemia rates.¹⁹ Despite the fact that the aim of the ASPIRE In-Home study was to test safety and not to reduce A1C, there was a subgroup of patients who did, and many patients with initially low A1C values (<7%) were able to sustain this value throughout the study. Subjects who maintain or achieve excellent glycemic control can benefit through routine use of the TS feature.

The study had several limitations. First, SG values were used for all analyses without validation by a reference method. Second, because blood glucose values were not obtained during many of the NH events and because the timing and extent of carbohydrate intake were often not recorded, our ability to determine sensor accuracy around the time of NH events is limited. However, sensor accuracy is unlikely to depend on treatment group assignment and unlikely to have biased our conclusions. Third, the generalizability of the study results may be limited because only hypoglycemia-prone subjects were enrolled. The results may not be generalizable to sensors with different performance characteristics, and the reported rates of hypoglycemia are likely to be inflated (in both groups). Finally, the 3-month

duration of the study may have been insufficient to demonstrate long-term benefits of the TS feature.

This analysis demonstrates the protective effects of the TS feature above and beyond NH prevention. NH occurred at lower rates among subjects in the TS Group throughout the study. When NH events did occur in the TS Group, they tended to be shorter and characterized by higher nadir SG values than events in the Control Group. Additional strategies will probably be needed to reduce the severity and rate of NH even further. This modality is the first automated insulin delivery module in practice and may pave the way for the ultimate goal—the closed-loop artificial pancreas.²⁰

The current analysis of ASPIRE In-Home data showed that overall rates, durations, and severities of NH events were lower among subjects who regularly used the TS feature than among those who did not. The benefits were mostly independent of baseline A1C levels and Δ A1C levels. In addition, this analysis showed that for those with an baseline A1C level of <7% who maintained glucose control and for those with a baseline A1C level of 7–8% who reduced their A1C during the 3-month study period, the TS feature allowed improving metabolic control safely by reducing rates of NH and AUC of hypoglycemic events.

Acknowledgments

The ASPIRE In-Home Study was funded by Medtronic, plc.

Author Disclosure Statement

R.W. is a consultant for Medtronic. J.B.W., K.A.S., and J.J.S. are employees of Medtronic, plc. Atlanta Diabetes Associates, the employer of B.W.B., has received research support from Medtronic. T.S.B. and A.J.A. have received research support from Medtronic, plc. S.K.G. has received speaking/advisory board consulting fees from Eisai, Medtronic, Novo Nordisk, Roche, the Jaeb Center, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, the JDRF, and Sanofi and research grants from Eli Lilly, Halozyme, Novo Nordisk, Merck, MannKind, Medtronic, the T1D Exchange, the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, the JDRF, and Sanofi.

References

1. Haugstvedt A, Wentzel-Larsen T, Rokne B, et al.: Perceived family burden and emotional distress: similarities and differences between mothers and fathers of children with type 1 diabetes in a population-based study. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2011;12:107–114.
2. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group: Epidemiology of severe hypoglycemia in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Am J Med* 1991;90:450–459.
3. Diabetes Research in Children Network Study Group: Impaired overnight counterregulatory hormone responses to spontaneous hypoglycemia in children with type 1 diabetes. *Pediatr Diabetes* 2007;8:199–205.
4. Jauch-Chara K, Hallschmid M, Gais S, et al.: Awakening and counterregulatory response to hypoglycemia during early and late sleep. *Diabetes* 2007;56:1938–1942.
5. Buckingham B, Wilson DM, Lecher T, et al.: Duration of nocturnal hypoglycemia before seizures. *Diabetes Care* 2008;31:2110–2112.

6. Desjardins K, Brazeau AS, Strychar I, et al.: Are bedtime nutritional strategies effective in preventing nocturnal hypoglycaemia in patients with type 1 diabetes? *Diabetes Obes Metab* 2014;16:577–587.
7. Garg S, Brazg RL, Bailey TS, et al.: Reduction in duration of hypoglycemia by automatic suspension of insulin delivery: the in-clinic ASPIRE study. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2012;14:205–209.
8. Bergenstal RM, Klonoff DC, Garg SK, et al.: Threshold-based insulin-pump interruption for reduction of hypoglycemia. *N Engl J Med* 2013;369:224–232.
9. Choudhary P, Shin J, Wang Y, et al.: Insulin pump therapy with automated insulin suspension in response to hypoglycemia: reduction in nocturnal hypoglycemia in those at greatest risk. *Diabetes Care* 2011;34:2023–2025.
10. Danne T, Kordonouri O, Holder M, et al.: Prevention of hypoglycemia by using low glucose suspend function in sensor-augmented pump therapy. *Diabetes Technol Ther* 2011;13:1129–1134.
11. Ly TT, Nicholas JA, Retterath A, et al.: Effect of sensor-augmented insulin pump therapy and automated insulin suspension vs standard insulin pump therapy on hypoglycemia in patients with type 1 diabetes: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2013;310:1240–1247.
12. Agrawal P, Welsh JB, Kannard B, et al.: Usage and effectiveness of the low glucose suspend feature of the Medtronic Paradigm Veo insulin pump. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 2011;5:1137–1141.
13. Sherr JL, Palau Collazo M, Cengiz E, et al.: Safety of nighttime 2-hour suspension of basal insulin in pump-treated type 1 diabetes even in the absence of low glucose. *Diabetes Care* 2014;37:773–779.
14. Bailey TS, Weiss R, Bode BW, et al.: Hypoglycemia reduction and changes in A1C in the ASPIRE In-Home Study [abstract 231-OR]. *Diabetes* 2014;63(Suppl 1):A60.
15. Klonoff DC, Bergenstal RM, Garg SK, et al.: ASPIRE In-Home: rationale, design, and methods of a study to evaluate the safety and efficacy of automatic insulin suspension for nocturnal hypoglycemia. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 2013;7:1005–1010.
16. Cryer PE: The barrier of hypoglycemia in diabetes. *Diabetes* 2008;57:3169–3176.
17. Juvenile Diabetes Research Foundation Continuous Glucose Monitoring Study Group: Prolonged nocturnal hypoglycemia is common during 12 months of continuous glucose monitoring in children and adults with type 1 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 2010;33:1004–1008.
18. The Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group: Hypoglycemia in the Diabetes Control and Complications Trial. *Diabetes* 1997;46:271–286.
19. Yeh HC, Brown TT, Maruthur N, et al.: Comparative effectiveness and safety of methods of insulin delivery and glucose monitoring for diabetes mellitus: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2012;157:336–347.
20. Keenan DB, Mastrototaro JJ, Voskanyan G, et al.: Delays in minimally invasive continuous glucose monitoring devices: a review of current technology. *J Diabetes Sci Technol* 2009;3:1207–1214.

Address correspondence to:
John J. Shin, PhD
Medtronic, plc.
18000 Devonshire Street
Northridge, CA 91325

E-mail: john.shin@medtronic.com