Attitudes of Chinese Oncology Physicians Toward Death with Dignity

Hui-ping Chen, MMed,^{1,2,*} Bo-yan Huang, MMed,^{1,*} Ting-wu Yi, MMed,¹ Yao-Tiao Deng, MD,¹ Jie Liu, MMed,¹
Jie Zhang, MMed,¹ Yu-qing Wang, MMed,¹ Zong-yan Zhang, BS,³ and Yu Jiang, MD, PhD¹

Abstract

Background: Death with dignity (DWD) refers to the refusal of life-prolonging measures for terminally ill patients by “living wills” forms in advance. More and more oncology physicians are receiving DWD requests from advance cancer patients in mainland China.

Objective: The study objective was to investigate the attitudes of Chinese oncology physicians toward the legalization and implementation of DWD.

Methods: A questionnaire investigating the understanding and attitudes toward DWD was administered to 257 oncology physicians from 11 hospitals in mainland China.

Results: The effective response rate was 86.8% (223/257). The majority of oncology physicians (69.1%) had received DWD requests from patients. Half of the participants (52.5%) thought that the most important reason was the patients’ unwillingness to maintain survival through machines. One-third of participants (33.0%) attributed the most important reason to suffering from painful symptoms. Most oncology physicians (78.9%) had knowledge about DWD. A fifth of respondents did not know the difference between DWD and euthanasia, and a few even considered DWD as euthanasia. The majority of oncology physicians supported the legalization (88.3%) and implementation (83.9%) of DWD.

Conclusions: Many Chinese oncology physicians have received advanced cancer patients’ DWD requests and think that DWD should be legalized and implemented. Chinese health management departments should consider the demands of physicians and patients. It is important to inform physicians about the difference between DWD and euthanasia, as one-fifth of them were confused about it.

Introduction

HOW TO HAVE A “GOOD DEATH” has become a hot topic.^{1–3} Although terminal cancer patients could continue living by artificial respiration and cardiopulmonary resuscitation, many of them suffer a variety of painful symptoms and very poor quality of life.³ This has led to the concept of “death with dignity” (DWD)—the request to stop the medical measures extending their lives and to let them die with calm and dignity.

This concept of DWD has different definitions in different countries.^{4,5} For example, in the United States, DWD is

commonly associated with the Death with Dignity Act (DWDA), which allows physicians to prescribe a lethal dose of secobarbital that the patient could self-administer.⁶ Joseph indicated that the essence of the DWDA is indeed physician-assisted suicide.^{7,8} Some countries consider the DWDA as euthanasia and not DWD.^{4,8}

The Science Council of Japan published a report on DWD in 1994 that defined it as the withdrawal or withholding of life-prolonging measures if the patient was terminally ill and expressed an intention to withdraw or withhold such measures in advance.⁴ Taiwan has a similar definition,⁹ although the term “do-not-resuscitate” (DNR) is preferred. This may

¹Department of Medical Oncology, Cancer Center, State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, ²Department of Palliative Medicine, West China Fourth Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, People’s Republic of China.

³Dehong Hospice, Dehong People’s Hospital, Yunnan, People’s Republic of China.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Accepted February 8, 2016.

be because of some similarities in cultural background between Japan and Taiwan, including mainland China.¹⁰⁻¹³

During the late 1990s, Reverend Chuck Meyer advocated changing DNR into “allow-natural-death” (AND),¹⁴ reflecting an end-of-life philosophy of providing comfort.^{15,16} In fact, the Natural Death Act (NDA) had already been made law in California in 1977, after which approximately 40 other states in the United States made similar legislation.¹⁷ The law established the right of a patient to demand his or her physician to withdraw or withhold life-sustaining procedures during terminal illness.¹⁸

In mainland China, a patient confirms a DWD request by filing in “living will” forms in advance. This procedure gives the patient the right to refuse some life-prolonging measures at the end of life.¹⁹ Thus, DWD in mainland China is similar to AND or NDA in America, DNR in Taiwan, and DWD in Japan.

In recent years, an increasing number of Chinese advanced cancer patients have asked for DWD, but this concept is not clearly supported by the current laws in mainland China.^{20,21} Chinese physicians face a dilemma,^{22,23} as Chinese culture is deeply influenced by Confucian thoughts,^{10,11,24,25} and it is taboo to discuss death. Consequently, it is important to investigate Chinese oncology physicians’ attitudes toward DWD in order to facilitate its legislation and implementation.

Methods

After discussion with three oncology and palliative care experts, we designed a special questionnaire to investigate Chinese oncology physicians’ attitude toward DWD. We based this questionnaire on a cross-sectional study. Prior to administration, the current questionnaire was pilot tested among 12 oncology doctors to ensure that it was easy to understand.

The questionnaire included 9 items on respondents’ demographic characteristics and 12 items on their understanding and attitudes toward DWD. In order to avoid any misunderstanding, we gave the definition of DWD in the questionnaire as follows: Confirmed by a “living will” form in advance, the patient refuses life-prolonging measures and accepts only those that would create comfort at the end of life.¹⁹ The overall Cronbach’s alpha for the questionnaire was 0.75; when individual items were deleted one at a time, the resulting Cronbach’s alpha was always more than 0.70.

Eleven oncology departments in two university hospitals, four tertiary general hospitals, and five urban general hospitals were involved. These hospitals were distributed across seven different regions of mainland China,^{26,27} including one from East China, one from North China, two from Southern China, one from Central China, one from Northeast China, three from Southwest China, and two from Northwest China. The smallest hospital had more than 300 patient beds including 30 oncology beds, and the largest had 4800 patient beds including 500 oncology beds. All participants were oncology specialists. Physicians who signed the informed consent form were included. Those who worked less than one year or did not sign the informed consent form were excluded.

The questionnaires were distributed to all eligible physicians. Participants were asked to complete it anonymously and independently. All records were collected and put into a

computer database. All data were analyzed using descriptive analyses by a researcher who was not involved in the data collection. Furthermore, the Mann-Whitney U test and Kruskal-Wallis test were used to analyze associations between demographic characteristics and understanding and attitudes towards DWD. All statistical analyses were processed by SPSS 18.0 (IBM, Armonk, NY). *P*-values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

Of 257 oncologists contacted, 223 completed the questionnaire. The effective response rate was 86.8%. The characteristics of the physicians are summarized in Table 1. Participants’ median age was 33 years (range: 23–62 years) and the standard deviation (SD) was 8.7 years.

Most of the participants (154/223, 69.1%) had received patients’ DWD requests. The most important reasons for DWD requests are shown in Figure 1. Physicians with higher professional titles (*p* = 0.002), more work experience

TABLE 1. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE ONCOLOGY PHYSICIANS (*n* = 223)

<i>Characteristic</i>	<i>Number</i>	<i>%</i>
Age (years)		
≤30	79	35.4
>30	144	64.6
Gender		
Male	98	44.0
Female	125	56.0
Ethnicity		
Han	215	96.4
Other	8	3.6
Marital status		
Married	161	72.2
Single	62	27.8
Religiousness		
With	25	11.2
Without	198	88.8
Education		
Bachelor’s degree	121	54.3
Master’s or doctor’s degree	102	45.7
Professional title		
Junior	78	35.0
Middle	75	33.6
Senior	70	31.4
Been an oncology physician for		
Five years or less	102	45.7
More than five years	121	54.3
Monthly income (USD) ^a		
≤967.7	155	69.5
>967.7	68	30.5
Is him/herself a cancer patient		
Yes	5	2.2
No	218	97.8
Has relatives with cancer		
Yes	64	28.7
No	159	71.3

^aUSD 967.7 = RMB 6000.

FIG. 1. Percentage of answers to the question, "Which is the most important reason for patients making a request for death with dignity?"

($p < 0.001$), and who were older ($p < 0.001$) received more DWD requests.

The understanding of participants toward DWD, including whether DWD was equivalent to euthanasia, is shown in Table 2. Those with more work experience ($p < 0.001$) and higher professional titles ($p < 0.001$) had a better understanding of DWD. Married physicians ($p = 0.004$) and those who were religious ($p = 0.012$) understood DWD better. Most physicians (166/223, 74.4%) did not consider DWD as euthanasia (see Table 2).

The attitudes of oncology physicians toward the legalization and implementation of DWD are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2. ONCOLOGY PHYSICIANS' ATTITUDE TOWARD DEATH WITH DIGNITY

Questions and answers	Number	%
How much do you know about DWD?		
Not at all	47	21.1
Know something	114	51.1
A lot	62	27.8
Do you think that DWD is equivalent to euthanasia?		
Yes	10	4.5
No	166	74.4
Unsure	47	21.1
Should DWD be legalized for terminal cancer patients?		
Yes	197	88.3
No	26	11.7
Would you implement DWD for terminal cancer patients now?		
Yes	178	79.8
No	32	14.4
Unsure	13	5.8
Would you implement DWD for terminal cancer patients after legalization?		
Yes	187	83.9
No	36	16.4

DWD, death with dignity.

Physicians' attitudes were not associated with age, gender, nationality, marital status, religion, education, professional title, work experience, or income. In addition, the attitudes were not influenced by themselves or their family having cancer ($p > 0.05$).

The most important reasons for oncology physicians supporting or rejecting DWD are shown in Table 3.

Discussion

Most oncology physicians (69.1%) in mainland China have received DWD requests from advanced cancer patients (see Fig. 1). A retrospective review of 829 cancer inpatients indicated that the signing rate of DNR orders reached 99.8%

TABLE 3. REASONS FOR ONCOLOGY PHYSICIANS' "YES" OR "NO" ANSWERS TOWARD DEATH WITH DIGNITY

Questions and answers	Number	%
The most important reasons for "yes"		
Respect patient's autonomy	109/199	54.8
Maintain dignity of the patient	55/199	27.6
Appropriate treatment for terminal cancer patient	17/199	8.6
Fair and reasonable use of medical resources	9/199	4.5
Reduce social and family burdens	7/199	3.5
Other	2/199	1.0
The most important reasons for "no"		
Do not conform to the principle of "healing the wounded and rescuing the dying"	6/43	14.0
Might meet opposition from patient's family	4/43	9.3
Risk of medical disputes	10/43	23.3
May shorten the survival of the patient	3/43	6.9
Could be used to "kill" patients	22/43	51.0
Other	4/43	9.3

in Taiwan.⁹ The percentage difference is probably partly due to improvements in palliative medicine consultations.²⁸

Most physicians thought the most important reason for a DWD request was the patients' unwillingness to rely on machines to maintain their survival (see Fig. 1). This is probably because patients did not want to be a burden to others.²⁹ One-third of the participants thought the most important reason was an unwillingness to suffer from unbearably painful symptoms, which is related to underdeveloped palliative medicine.³⁰ Indeed, many primary hospitals in mainland China still do not have basic analgesic drugs such as oral morphine, and their doctors know little about the standard three-step analgesic ladder.^{31,32}

Although most participants are familiar with DWD, a fifth of respondents did not know the difference between DWD and euthanasia; a few even considered DWD as euthanasia (see Table 2). This lack of knowledge led them to express reservations about the legalization of DWD and to worry about "killing" patients (see Table 3). This misunderstanding is evident even in the United States, where AND has been legalized for many years, and where medical staff had insufficient knowledge regarding the law.^{5,33} Therefore, it is necessary to educate medical staff.

The majority of participants supported the legalization and implementation of DWD (see Table 2). Only eight participants in the current study were of 'Other' ethnicity and they all agreed with DWD, which may be related to their religious beliefs.^{34–37} Although the Legislative Yuan of Taiwan enacted the Hospice and Palliative Regulation that included the implementation of DWD in 2000,⁹ current laws in mainland China still do not clearly empower physicians to comply with patients' DWD requests, as family members' consent is also required.^{20,21} Patients' families tend to conceal the truth of diagnosis and prognosis³⁸ and want to prolong patients' lives as much as possible. Thus, DWD legalization would be necessary and give patients more autonomy on the medical measures to implement at the end of life (see Table 3).

In addition to health care policy, the major differences between mainland China and Taiwan may result from "the productivity of the health workforce, in particular doctors and nurses, which are the cornerstone of the health care system."³⁹ In 2013, Taiwan had 1.80 doctors and 6.03 nurses per 1000 population, which was higher than mainland China, with 1.65 doctors and 2.01 nurses per 1000 population. Moreover, mainland China's total health care spending per capita was only \$508 USD—adjusted for purchasing power parity (PPP)—far less than Taiwan's \$2,479 USD PPP.^{39,40} Three foundations with religious affiliations have also played an important role in the development of palliative care in Taiwan.⁴¹

As the doctor-patient relationship is rather tense in mainland China,^{42–45} it is reasonable that some physicians are afraid of the risk of medical disputes in implementing DWD (see Table 3). However, we believe that DWD implementation could give the patient and family more humane care and help to moderate the relationship between doctors and patients.

This study is limited to oncology physicians. Further investigations are needed for oncology nurses, cancer patients, and their families. Adding validated assessment of filial piety (i.e., virtue of respect for one's father, elders, and ancestors) needs to be considered, as this aspect might be important.

In conclusion, this study found that Chinese oncologists often received DWD requests, and most physicians support

DWD legalization in mainland China. Thus, health management departments need to consider relevant DWD laws and DWD legalization. Because one-fifth of oncology physicians were confused about DWD and euthanasia, it is important to educate them about the crucial difference between DWD and euthanasia. Lastly, it is necessary to accelerate the development of palliative care to alleviate terminal patients' suffering.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank all the participants who helped us in this study. We especially thank the following persons for their help: Wen-xiu Yao, MD; Ping Duan, MD; Yan-yu Qi, MD; Bin Ye, MD; and Chao Yang, MD. We also thank Editage for providing English language editing.

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

1. Miyashita M, Morita T, Sato K, et al.: Good death inventory: A measure for evaluating good death from the bereaved family member's perspective. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2008;35:486–498.
2. Sandman L: Should people die a natural death? *Health Care Anal* 2005;13:275–287.
3. Emanuel EJ, Emanuel LL: The promise of a good death. *Lancet* 1998;351:SI121–SI129.
4. Okishiro N, Miyashita M, Tsuneto S, et al.: The Japan Hospice and Palliative Care Evaluation Study (J-HOPE Study): Views about legalization of death with dignity and euthanasia among the bereaved whose family member died at palliative care units. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2009;26:98–104.
5. Frank P, Cruise PL, Parsons SK, et al.: State, heal thy physicians: An assessment of the Louisiana Natural Death Act. *J Health Hum Serv Adm* 2004;27:242–275.
6. Loggers ET, Starks H, Shannon-Dudley M, et al: Implementing a Death with Dignity program at a comprehensive cancer center. *New Engl J Med* 2013;368:1417–1424.
7. Campbell CS, Cox JC: Hospice-assisted death? A study of Oregon hospices on death with dignity. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2012;29:227–235.
8. Masdeu JC: Viewpoint: Can physicians really provide death with dignity? *Neurol Today* 2011;11:6.
9. Wen KY, Lin YC, Cheng JF, et al.: Insights into Chinese perspectives on do-not-resuscitate (DNR) orders from an examination of DNR order form completeness for cancer patients. *Support Care Cancer* 2013;21:2593–2598.
10. Hsu CY, O'Connor M, Lee S: Understandings of death and dying for people of Chinese origin. *Death Stud* 2009;33:153–174.
11. Fenghui F, Hong W, Xiting H, Lingxiang X, et al.: An exploratory study on the structure of Chinese cognitive filial piety. *Psychol Sci* 2009;32:4.
12. Shiguang Cui HL: Comparison in loyalty and filial piety between China and Japan. *Northeast Asia Forum* 2010;19:6.
13. Ivo K, Younsuck K, Ho YY, et al.: A survey of the perspectives of patients who are seriously ill regarding end-of-life decisions in some medical institutions of Korea, China and Japan. *J Med Ethics* 2012;38:310–316.
14. Schlairet MC, Cohen RW: Allow-natural-death (AND) orders: Legal, ethical, and practical considerations. *HEC Forum* 2013;25:161–171.

15. Venneman SS, Narnor-Harris P, Perish M, et al.: "Allow natural death" versus "do not resuscitate": Three words that can change a life. *J Med Ethics* 2008;34:2–6.
16. Stecher JM: 'Allow Natural Death' vs. 'Do Not Resuscitate.' *Am J Nurs* 2008;108:11.
17. Towers B: The impact of the California Natural Death Act. *J Med Ethics* 1978;4:96–98.
18. Klutch M: Survey results after one year's experience with the Natural Death Act: September 1, 1976–August 31, 1977. *West J Med* 1978;128:329–330.
19. Wang W-z, Zhang Z-h: On euthanasia and death with dignity: A dialogue between medical workers and philosophical workers. *Med Philos (A)* 1999;43–45.
20. People's Republic of China: Regulations on the Administration of Medical Institutions. *Communique of the State Council of the People's Republic of China*, 1994, pp. 83–89.
21. National People's Congress: Article 55 in *The Tort Law of the People's Republic of China*. The 12th Session of the Standing Committee of the Eleventh National People's Congress, 2010.
22. Liu X, Zheng LW, Wang H: The patient's death, who has the right to decide. *China Med Tribune* 2015;4:A6.
23. Li Z: Tort law interpretation of medical decision. *J Huazhong Univ Sci Tech (Social Science ed.)* 2011;25:53–59.
24. Pan D: Patient, family and doctor in Chinese literature on filial piety: A medical-sociological research. *Open Times* 2015:109–117.
25. Li X: "Hsiao": The way Chinese settling down their life. *Acad Monthly* 2010;42:29–36.
26. Wang H: Research on the dividing scheme of China economic region from the view of finance. Dissertation. Wuhan University of Technology, 2006.
27. Changfeng Sui YD: Regional fuzzy clustering analysis in China based on perspective on transportation. *J Beijing Jiaotong Univ* 2012;36:107–111.
28. Sacco J, Deravin Carr DR, Viola D: The effects of the palliative medicine consultation on the DNR status of African Americans in a safety-net hospital. *Am J Hosp Palliat Care* 2013;30:363–369.
29. Akechi T, Miyashita M, Morita T, et al.: Good death in elderly adults with cancer in Japan based on perspectives of the general population. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2012;60:271–276.
30. Li J, Davis MP, Gamier P: Palliative medicine: Barriers and developments in mainland China. *Curr Oncol Rep* 2011;13:290–294.
31. Lin L ZY, Wei P, et al.: The investigation and countermeasure to cognitive status on the treatment of cancer pain of county hospital doctors. *China Modern Med* 2014:162–163,166.
32. Tan C: Survey of the current status of the cognition of three ladder analgesia in the community doctors and in the base students in Shanghai. *Shanghai Med* 2015;36:16–19.
33. Mirarchi FL, Ray M, Cooney T: TRIAD IV: Nationwide survey of medical students' understanding of living wills and DNR orders. *J Patient Saf* 2014. [E-pub ahead of print.]
34. Yan M: On Tibetan traditional view of death and hospice care. *J Tibet Univ* 2013;28:67–71.
35. Bao L: The view of life and death and hospice of Mongolian people. *Soc Sci* 2007:106–114.
36. Yubao Wu YM: On Miao's outlook on life and its social management impact in west Hunan. *J Huaihua Univ* 2013;32:1–3.
37. Pu T: The view of death in the Yi nationality's traditional culture. *J South-Central Univ Nationalities (Humanities and Social Science)* 2001;21:35–38.
38. Jiang Y, Liu C, Li JY, et al.: Different attitudes of Chinese patients and their families toward truth telling of different stages of cancer. *Psychooncology* 2007;16:928–936.
39. OECD/WHO: *Health at a Glance: Asia/Pacific 2014: Measuring Progress towards Universal Health Coverage*. OECD Publishing, 2014, pp. 59–85.
40. Ministry of Health and Welfare ROC: *Taiwan Health and Welfare Report* 2014. 2014, pp. 133–155.
41. Tsuneto S: Development of palliative medicine in Asia. In: Bruera E, Higginson I, von Gunten CF, et al. (eds): *Textbook of Palliative Medicine and Supportive Care, 2nd ed*. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2014, pp. 71–76.
42. [No author listed.] Chinese doctors are under threat. *Lancet* 2010;376:657.
43. Yang Y, Zhao JC, Zou YP, et al.: Facing up to the threat in China. *Lancet* 2010;376:1823.
44. Huang SL, Ding XY: Violence against Chinese health-care workers. *Lancet* 2011;377:1747.
45. [No author listed.] Violence against doctors: Why China? Why now? What next? *Lancet* 2014;383:1013.

Address correspondence to:
 Yu Jiang, MD, PhD
 Department of Medical Oncology
 Cancer Center
 State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy
 West China Hospital
 Sichuan University
 Chengdu 610041
 People's Republic of China
 E-mail: jiangyu1973@hotmail.com